Memorandum

Date:

May 10, 2010

To:

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.16472

Subject:

EL CAJON AREA'S RESPONSE TO OFFICER SAFETY INSPECTION

Attached is El Cajon Area's Exceptions response to the Officer Safety Inspection recently conducted by Departmental personnel.

The Area commander has closely reviewed the findings and recommendations contained within the final report and concurs with the evaluator's findings.

I concur with the commander's actions in this matter and am satisfied with the report's findings.

G. A. DOMPNGUEZ, Chief

Attachments

cc: El Cajon Area

MAY 20 2010

BY:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Command: 680	Division: 601	Chapter:
Inspected by: Officer F. Lar	mb	Date: 11/18/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwar ent shall be utilized to docu	d to:" enter the nex ment innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter t level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level				☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included Chapter 17 Inspection Report
		2		
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices:		
None				
Command Suggestions for Since	tatewio	de Improvement:		

A random sampling of CHP 100 forms were reviewed and revealed that comments regarding officer safety tactics observed in the field were not specifically noted. Thirty-five (35) CHP 100 forms were reviewed; only two had comments regarding officer safety tactics observed in the field. However, a majority of the remaining CHP 100 forms did include documentation of officer/occupational "safety tips." Please note that officer safety comments based upon specific supervisory observation are not to be confused with the documentation of "safety tips."

A review of the OTS (ETRS) "Monthly Pistol Shoots" report indicated that the Area has conducted required shoots during nine (Jan. – Sep.) months scheduled in 2009. The Area was forced to cancel the scheduled range dates during the month of October due to illness (H1N1). Officer T. Wozniak is designated as the primary "Weapons Officer" and regularly schedules two range dates per month. The average monthly pistol shoots attended during 2009 by Area personnel is approximately six (5.5) during the past ten months. The average monthly pistol shoots credited per employee is approximately seven (7.1) which equal an average of approximately two (1.6) make-up shoots per employee. This low average is a cumulative calculation of the Area personnel, including the resident post, and does not account for management, administrative assignment, limited duty, 4800 time, or military leave.

Inspector's Findings:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: 680	Division: 601	Chapter:
Inspected by: Officer F. La	mb	Date: 11/18/2009

'age 2 of 2 ========	=======================================
Commander's Response:	Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
nspector's Comments: SI	hall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
16.	
PANTON HELITAREN VA	
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Tin	
Jonective Action Flam/Tim	leille

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDERS SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	Xumala	4/77/12
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Sol / Sollingered	7-1/10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	Frank F. Land	11/20/09
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		3/11/
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	XXXX	11/10

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	DIVISION	
680 EL CAJOI	V GOI BOZDER	
EVALUATED BY		DATE

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Info	rmal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
☐ Yes ☑ No					
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	BY	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
		11-18-09	YES		
Does the command emphasincidence of injuries incurre	size importance of proper enforce d by officers?	ment tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	⊻Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander s	tress importance of proper enforc	cement tactics, including use	e of force?	√Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record	of the command reflect an aware	ness of proper tactics?		⊡ Ýes	□No
safety?	00 and CHP 118s, Performance A		- 2	□Yes	☑No
b. Are the commander and lieu	utenants knowledgeable of enforce correct use of safety equipment?	ement tactics, physical met		☑Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applie	ed properly in critiques of incident	s involving officers and serg	geants?	⊠Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieu	tenants maintain a minimum leve	l of enforcement skills?		√Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend office	cer safety training sessions?	IEN SCHEDURA T	EXTENS	√Yes	□No
	lved in officer safety, what are the			NIA	=
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	ON	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	<u> </u>
	ON formal training has been received	11-18-09	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED ✓ Yes	□No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar ls of arrest, and the proper use of	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	⊠Ýes	□No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar ls of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? nd sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	⊠Ýes	□No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been records.	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar ls of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	Ves	□ No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar is of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	√Yes VYes	□ No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique (b) Handcuffing.	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar is of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes	□ No □ No □ No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equip	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar is of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equip (d) Suspect control.	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers ar is of arrest, and the proper use of ded for:	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes ✓ Ýes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equiped (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers and so of arrest, and the proper use of ded for: The second arrest of traffic officers are so of the proper use of the p	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No
a. Do training records indicate (1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical method certifications been record (a) Searching technique (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equiped (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony (f) Hostage control.	formal training has been received al certification of traffic officers are less of arrest, and the proper use of reded for: ment. / stops.	d and certified? Ind sergeants for proficiency f safety equipment (use of for	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No No No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-	(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?	ST - mIN 12	NRS.	√Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and s	sergeants are current?		⊠Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tin and sergeants?	mely recertification of all		□Yes	□No
b.			a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inve- general observations to determine if proper enforcement			√Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpor	ses?		√Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all what level of force, is justified?	uniformed personnel ur	nderstand when,	√Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	n complaints indicate a PKE SUPKE		√Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not prois made available?	oficient and ensure refre	sher training	√Yes	□No
C.	is re	efres	her training required prior to certification?	ATA FROM	CERTIFICATION	√Ves	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish ce	ertification indicated on t	he CHP 270?	☑ Ýes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	⊠ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?			∑ Ýes	⊡ No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?	VOZNIAK, TRATEO, DWINK MILLEA	, WIETH, CHRTHEN E	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			ƳYes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	OSGY. CROFFOR	NISOP, BUDINAN NIAK	Yes	□No
			Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profic			¥Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and eq	uipment available for off	icer safety training?	∑ Yes	□No
			at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	2	S EXECULAR	27, 7	ROKIDI
	V					1111 9	de a cons
P1 / /			e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tra	aining? Sot Sign	1, NITILINI 12 840 N 15 HOT AINED	☑ Ýes	<u>RAINIM</u> □No
3. SA			QUIPMENT	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all u duty, in uniform?	niformed personnel, cap	otain and below,	Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations is utilized to subdue a subject?	made on booking shee	ts when OC spray	⊻ Ýes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the suspray on the CHP 121?	pervisors noting the use	/nonuse of OC	√ Yes	□No
	(3)		ndividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate	al la confirmation affinate	d area with along		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

			(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of sali	ine solution?		√Yes	□No
-			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and			™ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters	?		∑ ∕Yes	□No
		(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster an the safety strap with one hand?	d without looking at th	e holster, fasten	⊵ ∕Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within o	ne and a half seconds	, using one hand?	∕¥Yes	□No
		(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weap related exercises?	ons are unloaded pric	r to holster-	☑ Yes	□No
	C.	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			√Yes	□No
_	d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			☑ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops	s?		∀Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved bato	n techniques?		Yes	□No
-	e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			√Yes	□No
		(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per po armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrumen		where body	☐Yes	√No
			(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical ex	amination?	NIA	☐ Yes	□No
	f.		holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs ectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance app	!10		⊠Yes	□No
		(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	ON ANNIVA		Yes	□No
		(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?		,	√Yes	□No
4.	FII	REAL	RMS	ALUATED 11 -18-09	ACTION REQUIRED YES	CORRECTED	
	a.	Has	the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge	ge of firearms been co	mpiled with?	∑ rÝes	□No
		(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy? Of TRAN	RAVIEW	TRNG DAYS	☑ Ýes	□No
			(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding	of the policy?		☑ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?	ct. H'N'	LOW AVERAGE	¥Ÿes	□No
		(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			∀ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available	e? Current?		∀ Ýes	□No
		(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapon	ns, day/night shoots, e	etc.?	√Yes	□No
	C.	Doe	s the Area have a range officer?	RABELL	D.	√Yes	□No
		(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?		ć	√Yes	□No
		(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			√Yes	□No
		(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			∑ rÝes	□No
		(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			∀Yes	□No
			(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			∀ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_		- 7	
d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots? PROTECT 2000 RANGE	¥Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	Yes	₩No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	√Yes	□ No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□ No
e.	. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	Yes	□ No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	☑ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	⊠Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☑Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	√Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	∀ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	√Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☑ Ýes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	Q Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	∑ Ýes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	√Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	¥Ýes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	☑ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	∑Ýes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	¥Ýes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	☑ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	图Ýes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	√Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	√Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	¥Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	√Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	☑Ýes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	¥Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_					
	(3	B) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for train records)?	ning (per inventory	⊮Yes	□No
3===	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the ac (per the shooting roster)?	tual amount expended	⊠Ýes	□No
:(===		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those	training days tested?	⊠∕Ýes	□No
-	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?		√Yes	□No
	(6)	i) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	205.7 270	√Yes	□No
	(7)			√Yes	□No
	(8)	 Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (typ date, etc.)? 	e of shoot, scores,	√ √es	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition revolved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	equisition is not	x MYes,	, 🗌 No
	(1)) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons train involved with handling and recording ammunition?	ning information is not	™Yes	□No
	(2)) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammuni supervisor or backup employee?		¥Yes	□No
	i. If A	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training	g of RP officers?	2.6	TOWERS
Á	REA	ENERS HYMMIO FROM ARRA		2	
	(1)) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?		Yes	□ No
8	j. Are	e required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?		⊠Ýes	□No
	(1)) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?		¥Yes	□No
5.	PHYS	SICAL METHODS OF ARREST	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	0
	a. Do	o officers practice weaponless defense? OST TRAINING TO	から	Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?		∑ -Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves wi	th weaponless defense?	☑ Ýes	□No
	b. We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:	KING SCHED	U 1. 12 D	TENG
	(1)		AVS	√Yes	□ No
	(2)	Punches.		Yes	□ No
	(3)	Strikes.		r Yes	□No
	(4)	Blocks.		√Yes	□ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.		Yes	□No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.		√Yes	□No
	(7)			-k.	□No
	(,,	Defenses against weapons.		⊉ Yes	
	(8)			¥ Yes ¥ Yes	□ No
		Ground defense and takedowns.		-	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made? (1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	¥Yes ✓Yes	□No
Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	¥Yes	
(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?		☐ No
	¥Yes	
(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?		□No
	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	Yes	□No
FORCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED 1/-18-09 ACTION REQUIRED 1/0	CORRECTED	
	⊻Yes	□No
	⊋∕Ýes	□No
1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	⊘ ′Yes	□No
(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	Yes Yes	□No
(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	Yes	□No
(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	√Yes	□No
	Yes	□No
1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?	 Yes	□No
Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	Yes	□No
3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	✓Yes	□No
4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?	√Yes	□No
SUITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
	√Ýes	□No
1) Number of units?	¥Yes	□No
2) When to discontinue?	Yes	□No
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes	□No
(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	Ƴes	□No
	□Yes	✓No
	Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? RSUITS EVALUATED	Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid? (4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents? RSUITS EVALUATED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(1)) Are any written agreements on file?	Porced AND		Yes	□No
	(2)				☐ Yes	☑Ńo
	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?				⊙ [¶ Yes	□No
8.	FORC	ORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED 11-18-09 ACTION REQUIRED			CORRECTED	
á	a. Are	Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops?				□No
	(1)) Does the Area follow departmental policy?	. 2		⊉ Ýes	□No
	(2)					□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action been tak	en or training	Yes	□No
9. 1	ROAD	DBLOCKS	11-18-09	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
_	a. Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deployment of the hollow spike strip?					
•					⊠ Yes	□No
	of t	the hollow spike strip?		ROCATIONS	☑ Yes ¥Yes	□ No
	of t	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	S.O.P- REC	COCATIONS SHOWINGSLD		
	of t (1) (2)	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	ods of establishing roadblo	COCATIONS SHOWINGSLD	¥es	□ No
	of t (1) (2) (3)	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? Have the officers received instructions on the proper method.	ods of establishing roadblo	COCATIONS SHOWINGSLD	yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
	of t (1) (2) (3) RADI	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? Have the officers received instructions on the proper method. Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	ods of establishing roadblo	COEATIONS STEMPLED LODES?	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
10.	(1) (2) (3) RADI . Are	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? Have the officers received instructions on the proper method. Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	ods of establishing roadblo	ACTION REQUIRED	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes CORRECTED	No No No
10.	of t (1) (2) (3) RADI . Are	the hollow spike strip? Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? Have the officers received instructions on the proper method. Have interagency training sessions been conducted? FIO FAMILIARIZATION e officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?	ods of establishing roadblo	ACTION REQUIRED	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes CORRECTED	No No No No

Destroy Previous Editions

November 18, 2009

EL CAJON AREA CHAPTER 17 Page 1 of 5

ITEM

1 COMMAND INVOLVEMENT

The Commander, Captain T. Sumprer, is committed to the importance of officer safety, the emphasis of proper enforcement tactics, monthly/quarterly officer safety training, and the personnel's awareness of occupational safety. Captain T. Sumprer makes every effort to attend scheduled officer safety training days and a quarterly range shoot when her schedule permits. In addition, the Captain attends all scheduled Area quarterly training days.

2 TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION

- The Training Officer (S. Griffin) maintains an anniversary roster of all uniformed personnel. Officer S. Griffin schedules all uniformed personnel to attend the annual CHP 199 certification training on, or about, the personnel's anniversary month. Area OST/PMA Training includes the annual certification when all uniformed personnel are certified and documentation completed on the CHP 199 (OFFICER SAFETY CERTIFICATION) form. As of this date, the Chapter 17 Inspection, all active duty uniformed personnel have attended scheduled OST/PMA training days in preparation for remaining 2009 certification dates. In addition, Officers T. Wozniak, M. Milan, T. Wirth, A. Carter, J. Barraza, M. Miller and Sgt. D. Budwine have completed the OST (2-week) Instructor Course and obtained certification by Academy South. The S.R.O.V.T. calendar is reviewed at each shift briefing.
- A random sampling of CHP 100 forms were reviewed and revealed that comments regarding officer safety tactics observed in the field were not specifically noted. Thirty-five (35) CHP 100 forms were reviewed; only two had comments regarding officer safety tactics observed in the field. However, a majority of the remaining CHP 100 forms did include documentation of officer/occupational "safety tips." Please note that officer safety comments based upon specific supervisory observation are not to be confused with the documentation of "safety tips."

Action:

Supervisors should note observations of officers in the field as they relate to OST /PMA tactics. Those observations should then be recorded on the CHP 100 and included as part of the officer's annual evaluation.

2C Training records indicated that all personnel are proficient and did not require remedial training.

November 18, 2009

EL CAJON AREA CHAPTER 17 Page 2 of 5

The Area currently has seven Academy certified OST/PMA instructors. The instructors are Sergeant D. Budwine, Officers T. Wozniak, M. Mateo, T. Wirth, A. Carter, J. Barraza, and M. Miller. Sergeant M. Crofton is responsible for the OST/PMA program and is designated the Area training supervisor. The number of instructors is less than the recommended (1:10) instructor to student ratio. The Area is in the process of selecting additional personnel to attend the OST Instructor Training Course (2-week) to become certified in the near future allowing the Area to meet the recommended ratio. In addition, the Area's equipment is adequate.

3 SAFETY EQUIPMENT

- All officers are certified in the OC/pepper spray. Officers I Mc Name and B. were questioned regarding the use of the OC spray, decontamination and limitations. The officers were well versed in these procedures. Two patrol cars were checked at random which did contain saline bottles (1000 ml) in the trunk box.
- 3B-D The officers were asked to demonstrate their proficiency in PMA and OST. The officers were very familiar with the specific PMA techniques and showed very good proficiency with their holsters and loading devices. The officers were aware of the policy and the proper utilization of the PR-24 and ASP baton. Approximately 95% of the Area is ASP certified. In addition, the officer's equipment was in good condition.
- The officers stated that they wear their protective vests while on duty. All officers were observed wearing their protective vests. In addition, Officer S. Griffin is in compliance with HPM 73.5, Chapter 4-2 (g) Replacement, which states "soft body armor shall be replaced within five years from the date of manufacture."
- Area maintains all CHP 311 forms which do indicate compliance, correction, and inspection schedules current. Officers S. Griffin, T. Wozniak, R. Rabello, D. Stowers, and S. Hruza are responsible for weapons inspections and Academy qualified.

November 18, 2009

EL CAJON AREA CHAPTER 17 Page 3 of 5

4 FIREARMS

- Quarterly reviews of the Departmental shooting policy are conducted during Area training days. Area utilizes video tapes, scenarios, and the S.R.O.V.T. calendar in addition to reading the policy. Officers I Menamara and B. Days were questioned on their knowledge of policy and its applications. The officers showed a very good understanding of the shooting policy.
- A review of the OTS (ETRS) "Monthly Pistol Shoots" report indicated that the Area has conducted required shoots during nine (Jan. Sep.) months scheduled in 2009. The Area was forced to cancel the scheduled range dates during the month of October due to illness effecting the range officer staff. Officer T. Wozniak is designated as the primary "Weapons Officer" and regularly schedules two range dates per month. The average monthly pistol shoots attended during 2009 by Area personnel is approximately six (5.5) during the past ten months. The average monthly pistol shoots credited per employee is approximately seven (7.1) which equal an average of approximately two (1.6) make-up shoots per employee. This low average is a cumulative calculation of the Area personnel, including the resident post, and does not account for management, administrative assignment, limited duty, 4800 time, or military leave.

Action:

HPM 70.8, Chapter 3, (1) (a) Required Shoots states that employees shall fire 12 primary firearm shoots each year (one each month). In the case of <u>exceptional</u> hardship, commanders may further limit the number of required shoots with the concurrence of the Division commander.

- 4C Officers T. Wozniak, S. Hruza, and D. Stowers (Resident Post) coordinate the Academy qualified weapons training program. Officers R. Rabello and S. Griffin are designated the alternates to the weapons training officers.
- 4D The range facility, Project 2000 Range, is the contracted range for the El Cajon Area office. This range meets the needs to facilitate all required shoots as described in policy.
- The Area's ammunition is kept in three locked lockers; the lockers are located in the Training Coordinators Office. The lockers can only be accessed by the Area designated "Ammunition Officers" Officer S. Griffin and Sergeant M. Crofton with an issued key. A review of the CHP 416 forms showed that all ammunition was accounted for and inventory current and accurate to date.

November 18, 2009

EL CAJON AREA CHAPTER 17 Page 4 of 5

No ammunition inventory discrepancies were noted. The quantity of .40 caliber ammunition is currently within policy.

- The clearing tube is located at the rear entrance to the Area office. Officers were observed utilizing the clearing tube to empty and charge their weapons.
- The weapons training records were checked and no discrepancies were noted. Officer S. Griffin maintains a very organized training records system with the utilization of the OTS and back-up hard copies of all training received by personnel.
- The Area procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory is adequate. The records were checked and no discrepancies or inaccuracies were noted. Officer S. Griffin has been responsible for the recording of ammunition inventory during the requisition and returns of all scheduled range training ammunition. In addition, Sergeant M. Crofton has conducted quarterly Area audits noted on the form CHP 269. The El Cajon Area is in compliance with policy which includes Government Code Section 13403.

5 PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST

- 5A The officers were aware of the five most vulnerable areas of an attacker. This knowledge indicated they would be able to defend themselves by attacking one of these critical areas.
- 5B-D Officers J. McNamara and B. Davis were asked at random to demonstrate various arrest, control, handcuffing, and searching techniques. The officers were able to accurately demonstrate and perform the techniques and searches. The officers were able to accurately answer all questions in regard to policy as it related to the search of female prisoners and handcuffing. The officers were well versed in the current policy of the carotid technique regarding certification and the fact that it is not to be utilized.

6 ENFORCEMENT TACTICS

The officers were questioned about the force continuum and given scenarios to provide an application of policy. Both officers showed a good working knowledge of the force continuum.

November 18, 2009

EL CAJON AREA CHAPTER 17 Page 5 of 5

7 PURSUITS

7A The officers were given scenarios dealing with pursuit and forcible stops policy. They demonstrated as excellent, practical working knowledge and application of departmental policy. The Area conducts training in forcible stops and pursuit policy on a quarterly basis utilizing video tapes, S.R.O.V.T. topics, and policy review.

CONCLUSION

The Chapter 17 Inspection was conducted by Officer F. Lamb on November 18, 2009. Lieutenant J. Nellis and Officer S. Griffin were present during the post inspection discussion and review of the ten categories listed on the form CHP 453S AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION Chapter 17 OFFICER SAFETY.